Case 2:21-cv-00851-DJC-CKD Document 86 Filed 07/03/24 Page 1 of 4 1 ROB BONTA Attorney General of California 2 ERIC M. KATZ Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 JENNIFER KALNINS TEMPLE, State Bar No. 258637 KRISTIN K. McCarthy, State Bar No. 328196 4 Deputy Attorneys General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 5 Telephone: (213) 269-6273 6 Fax: (916) 731-2128 E-mail: Kristin.McCarthy@doj.ca.gov 7 Attorneys for Defendant Eric Oppenheimer 8 9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 NEVADA IRRIGATION DISTRICT. 2:21-cv-00851-DJC-CKD 13 Plaintiff. STIPULATION AND ORDER TO 14 **DISMISS** v. 15 Judge: Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta 16 ERIC OPPENHEIMER, in his official Action Filed: 12/04/2020 capacity as Executive Director of the 17 California State Water Resources Control Board. 18 Defendant. 19 20 WHEREAS on December 4, 2020, Plaintiff Nevada Irrigation District (NID) filed its 21 Complaint; 22 WHEREAS on September 22, 2021, Defendants Eileen Sobeck, et al., filed a Motion to 23 Dismiss, or, in the alternative, Motion to Stay Plaintiff's Complaint on the grounds that this Court 24 lacks jurisdiction to hear NID's claims because NID's claims are not ripe, because NID lacks 25 Article III standing, and because the Eleventh Amendment immunizes Defendants from suit in 26 federal court (ECF No. 37); 27 28

Case 2:21-cv-00851-DJC-CKD Document 86 Filed 07/03/24 Page 2 of 4

1	WHEREAS on December 6, 2023, the Court granted the motion in part and denied it in		
2	part (ECF No. 72), granting NID leave to amend within 30 days of the issuance of that Order;		
3	WHEREAS NID filed a First Amended Complaint on January 5, 2024, which, among		
4	other things, substituted the State Water Resources Control Board's new Executive Director Eric		
5	Oppenheimer for the now-retired former Executive Director Eileen Sobeck;		
6	WHEREAS, pursuant to rule 144 of the Local Rules, the Court approved the parties' first		
7	stipulation extending the deadline for Defendant's responsive pleading to February 2, 2024;		
8	WHEREAS, pursuant to rule 144 of the Local Rules, the court approved the parties'		
9	second stipulation extending the deadline for Defendant's responsive pleading to June 2, 2024		
10	(ECF No. 81);		
11	WHEREAS on May 7, 2024, the State Water Resources Control Board (State Water		
12	Board) set aside the water quality certification at issue in this lawsuit and dismissed NID's		
13	September 10, 2020 petition for administrative reconsideration of the certification by adopting		
14	Order WQ 2024-0046;		
15	WHEREAS the statutory time period for any aggrieved party to file a petition for writ of		
16	mandate for review of the State Water Board's May 7, 2024 administrative action ran from 30		
17	days following said action (Wat. Code § 13330, subd. (a));		
18	WHEREAS, no petitions for writ of mandate challenging that action were filed within the		
19	statutory time period, and under California law Order WQ 2024-0046 is no longer subject to		
20	judicial review (Wat. Code § 13330, subd. (a));		
21	WHEREAS, administrative reconsideration by the State Water Board is not available for		
22	Order WQ 2024-0046 because the administrative reconsideration process applies only to actions		
23	or failures to act under authority delegated by the State Water Board, not action or failure to act		
24	by the five-member State Water Board itself. (Cal. Code Regs., tit. 23, § 3867, subds. (a)&(b));		
25	WHEREAS, pursuant to rule 144 of the Local Rules, the court approved the parties' third		
26	stipulation requiring that the parties meet and confer and report on the effect of the State Water		
27	Board's Order WQ 2024-0046 on this litigation by July 1, 2024, and extending the State Water		
28	Board's responsive pleading deadline to August 1, 2024 (ECF No. 84);		
	<u> </u>		

1 WHEREAS, on June 27, 2024, the parties met and conferred on the effect of Order WQ 2 2024-0046 on NID's claims in the current action and have agreed that NID's claims in this action 3 are moot; 4 THEREFORE, the parties, by and through their respective counsel, stipulate as follows: 5 1. NID hereby voluntarily dismisses all claims in this action without prejudice. 2. Each party agrees to bear its own costs and fees with regard to this action. 6 7 3. This stipulation may be executed in counterpart originals and by facsimile or 8 electronic signature, each of which shall be deemed to be an original, and all of which shall 9 constitute one and the same document. IT IS SO STIPULATED. 10 11 12 Dated: July 1, 2024 VAN NESS FELDMAN LLP 13 /s/ Jenna R. Mandell-Rice 14 JENNA R. MANDELL-RICE 15 Attorneys for Plaintiff Nevada Irrigation District 16 17 18 Dated: July 1, 2024 ROB BONTA Attorney General of California 19 ERIC M. KATZ Supervising Deputy Attorney General 20 21 /s/ Kristin K. McCarthy 22 KRISTIN K. McCarthy Deputy Attorneys General 23 Attorneys for Defendant Eric Oppenheimer in his official capacity as Executive Director 24 of the State Water Resources Control Board 25 26 27 28

Case 2:21-cv-00851-DJC-CKD Document 86 Filed 07/03/24 Page 3 of 4

	Case 2:21-cv-00851-DJC-CKD	Document 86 Filed 07/03/24 Page 4 of 4	
1		ORDER	
2	Based on the parties' stipulation, the case is hereby dismissed without prejudice. Each party		
3	is to bear its own costs and fees.		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
5			
6	Dated: July 2, 2024	/s/ Daniel J. Calabretta	
7		THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. CALABRETTA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
2728			
∠ð			